# An $\Omega(n \log n)$ lower bound for computing the sum of even-ranked elements

Marc Mörig\* Dieter Rautenbach† Michiel Smid $^{\ddagger}$  Jan Tusch\*

March 24, 2009

#### Abstract

Given a sequence A of 2n real numbers, the EVENRANKSUM problem asks for the sum of the n values that are at the even positions in the sorted order of the elements in A. We prove that, in the algebraic computation-tree model, this problem has time complexity  $\Theta(n \log n)$ . This solves an open problem posed by Michael Shamos at the Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry in 2008.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n})$  be a sequence of 2n real numbers. We define the even-rank-sum of A to be the sum of the n values that are at the even positions in the sorted order of the elements in A. Formally, let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$  that sorts the sequence A in non-decreasing order; thus,  $a_{\pi(1)} \leq a_{\pi(2)} \leq \ldots \leq a_{\pi(2n)}$ . Then the even-rank-sum of the sequence A is the real number

$$a_{\pi(2)} + a_{\pi(4)} + a_{\pi(6)} + \ldots + a_{\pi(2n)}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Faculty of Computer Science, University of Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger Faculty$  of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Ilmenau University of Technology, Ilmenau, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Research supported by NSERC.

Observe that any permutation  $\pi$  that sorts the sequence A in non-decreasing order gives rise to the same even-rank-sum. We consider the following problem:

EVENRANKSUM: Given a sequence A of 2n real numbers, compute the even-rank-sum of A.

By using an  $O(n \log n)$ -time sorting algorithm, this problem can be solved in  $O(n \log n)$  time. In the Open Problem Session at the Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry in 2008, Michael Shamos posed the problem of proving an  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound on the time complexity of EVENRANKSUM in the algebraic computation-tree model. (See [1, 2] for a description of this model.) In this paper, we present such a proof:

**Theorem 1** In the algebraic computation-tree model, the time complexity of EVENRANKSUM is  $\Theta(n \log n)$ .

We prove Theorem 1 by presenting an O(n)-time reduction of MINGAP to EVENRANKSUM. The former problem is defined as follows. Let  $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  be a sequence of n real numbers, and let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $x_{\pi(1)} \leq x_{\pi(2)} \leq \ldots \leq x_{\pi(n)}$ . For each  $1 \leq i < n$ , we define the difference  $x_{\pi(i+1)} - x_{\pi(i)}$  to be a gap in the sequence X.

MINGAP: Given a sequence  $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  of n real numbers and a real number g > 0, decide if each of the n - 1 gaps in X is at least g.

Since in the algebraic computation-tree model, MINGAP has an  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound (see [2, Section 8.4]), our reduction will prove Theorem 1.

# 2 The proof of Theorem 1

We now show how to reduce, in O(n) time, Mingap to EvenRankSum.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary algorithm that solves EVENRANKSUM. We show how to use algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to solve MINGAP. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and consider a sequence  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of n real numbers and a real number g > 0. The algorithm for solving MINGAP makes the following three steps:

**Step 1:** Compute  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  and, for i = 1, 2, ..., n, compute  $a_{2i-1} = x_i$  and  $a_{2i} = x_i + g$ .

**Step 2:** Run algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  on the sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n})$ , and let R be the output, i.e., R is the even-rank-sum of this sequence.

**Step 3:** If R = S + ng, then return YES. Otherwise, return NO.

It is clear that the running time of this algorithm is O(n) plus the running time of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus, it remains to show that the algorithm correctly solves MINGAP. That is, we have to show that the minimum gap G of X is at least g if and only if R = S + ng. This is an immediate consequence of the following lemma:

**Lemma 1** Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  and g be real numbers such that  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \ldots \leq x_n$  and g > 0. Let  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n}) = (x_1, x_1 + g, x_2, x_2 + g, \ldots, x_n, x_n + g)$  and let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$  such that  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \ldots \leq b_{2n}$  with  $b_i = a_{\pi(i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ .

If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{2i}$ ,  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{2i-1}$ , and  $G = \min\{x_{i+1} - x_i \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}$ , then  $R - U \le ng$  with equality if and only if  $G \ge g$ .

**Proof.** Since  $x_1, x_1 + g, x_2, x_2 + g, ..., x_i, x_i + g \le x_i + g$ , we have  $x_i + g \ge b_{2i}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Since  $x_i, x_i + g, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1} + g, ..., x_n, x_n + g \ge x_i$ , we have  $x_i \le b_{2i-1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Hence  $b_{2i} - b_{2i-1} \le (x_i + g) - x_i = g$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  which implies R - U < ng.

If  $G \geq g$ , then clearly R - U = ng. Conversely, if R - U = ng, then  $b_{2i} - b_{2i-1} = g$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In view of the above, this implies that  $x_i + g = b_{2i}$  and  $x_i = b_{2i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $x_{i+1} = b_{2i+1} \geq b_{2i} = x_i + g$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we obtain  $G \geq g$ .

We complete the proof of Theorem 1 by observing that R + U = 2S + ng and by Lemma 1 we have  $G \ge g$  if and only if R = U + ng = S + ng.

# References

- [1] M. Ben-Or. Lower bounds for algebraic computation trees. In *Proceedings* of the 15th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pages 80–86, 1983.
- [2] F. P. Preparata and M. I. Shamos. Computational Geometry: An Introduction. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.