today’s agenda

- assignments
  - Only the Project is left!

- Recursion Again

- Efficiency
last time...

recursion... binary trees...
A **binary tree** is

- empty (base case), or
- an item and two binary trees (called left and right)

```java
public class BTNode{
    String data;    // or int data; etc..
    BTNode left;
    BTNode right;
}
```

We may use a `BinaryTree` class that is a reference to the root of the tree or we can just represent binary trees with a `BTNode`

```java
public class BinaryTree{
    BTNode root;
    int size;  // maybe store the size
}
```
binary trees

A binary tree traversal involves two recursive calls (one for each child). The convention is to visit the left child before the right child.

```java
class BTNode {
    int data;
    BTNode left, right;
}

public void traverse(BTNode tree){
    if(tree==null) return;

    // do something with tree.data  
    // preorder traversal
    traverse( tree.root.left );

    // do something with tree.data  
    // inorder traversal
    traverse( tree.root.right );

    // do something with tree.data  
    // postorder traversal
}
```
binary trees

Example: count the nodes in a binary tree

```java
public int size(BTNode tree) {
    /* just use a node for a tree in this example */

    /* base case: empty tree has no nodes */
    if (root == null) return 0;

    /* recursive case: size is 1 plus size of subtrees */
    return 1 + size(root.left) + size(root.right);
}
```
Example: sum the data values in a binary tree (assume nodes store ints)

```java
public int sumOfTree(BinaryTree tree){
    /* call recursive helper function */
    return sumHelper(tree.root);
}

public static int sumHelper(BTNode root){
    /* base case: empty tree has no values */
    if(root==null) return 0;

    /* recursive case: add current node to sum of subtrees */
    return root.data + sumHelper(root.left) + sumHelper(root.right);
}
```
Example: find the max value in a binary tree (assume nodes store ints)

```java
public int maxOfTree(BinaryTree tree){
    /* precondition: tree is not null */
    return maxHelper(tree.root, tree.root.data);
}

public static int maxHelper(BTNode root, int big){
    /* precondition: big is the max value seen so far */
    /* base case: return biggest value seen so far */
    if(root==null) return big;
    /* recursive case */
    big = Math.max(big, root.data);
    big = Math.max(big, maxHelper(root.left, big));
    big = Math.max(big, maxHelper(root.right, big));
    return big;
}
```
Tail Recursion

There is overhead involved when using recursion.

- each time a function is called a new activation record is pushed to the stack (this costs time and uses up stack space)

Consider the two recursive functions

```c
int sum1(LinkedList list)
{
    if(list.size() == 0){ return 0; }
    return list.first() + sum1(list.rest());
}

int sum2(LinkedList list)
{
    return sumHelper(list, 0);
}

int sumHelper(LinkedList list, int accumulator)
{
    if(list.size() == 0){ return accumulator; }
    return sumHelper(list.rest(), accumulator+list.first());
}
```
Tail Recursion

The helper function (sumHelper) is an example of **tail recursion**. In tail recursion, the very last operation of the method is simply a recursive call to itself. If the function returns a value then the return value is simply the value returned from the recursive call.

Remember that each time a function is called a new activation record is pushed to the stack (this costs time and uses up stack space). For a function like sumHelper this is a waste of resources.

Some languages (or compilers) can optimize code using tail recursion by only creating a single activation record on the stack and reusing it for each recursive call. This saves time and space. Scheme is a language that guarantees optimized tail recursion. You do not need to worry about running out of stack space when using recursion in Scheme. (why?)
Last Quiz!
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how do we know if a program or a method is efficient?

- count basic operations (such as comparisons or swaps)
  - as a function of input size (typically $n$)
  - length of array, number of nodes in a linked list or tree, size of set

- which input do we consider?
  - worst case
  - average case
  - best case

\[ \text{if number of operations is } 3n^3 + 8n^2 - 1000n + 3 \rightarrow O(n^3) \]

\[ \text{if number of operations is } n^2 + 100000 + 800000n \rightarrow O(n^2) \]

- ignore lower "order" terms and constants
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how do we know if a program or a method is efficient?

- count basic operations (such as comparisons or swaps)
  - as a function of input size (typically $n$)
  - length of array, number of nodes in a linked list or tree, size of set

- which input do we consider?
  - worst case ✓✓ (typical)
  - average case ✓ (sometimes hard to determine)
  - best case ✗✓ (winning the lottery)
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how do we know if a program or a method is efficient?

▷ count basic operations (such as comparisons or swaps)
  ▷ as a function of input size (typically $n$)
  ▷ length of array, number of nodes in a linked list or tree, size of set

▷ which input do we consider?
  ▷ worst case ✓✓  (typical)
  ▷ average case ✓  (sometimes hard to determine)
  ▷ best case ✗✓  (winning the lottery)

▷ use big-O notation for runtime
  ▷ if number of operations is $3n^3 + 8n^2 - 1000n + 3$  →  $O(n^3)$
  ▷ if number of operations is $\frac{n^2}{100000} + 800000n$  →  $O(n^2)$
  ▷ ignore lower "order" terms and constants
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there are several common complexities (runtimes)

- constant $\sim c$ $O(1)$
- logarithmic $\sim c \log(n)$ $O(\log n)$
- linear $\sim cn$ $O(n)$
- linearithmic $\sim cn \log(n)$ $O(n \log n)$
- quadratic $\sim cn^2$ $O(n^2)$
- cubic $\sim cn^3$ $O(n^3)$
- exponential $\sim c2^n$ $O(2^n)$
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we need to be very careful how we interpret big-O runtimes.

- big-O is an asymptotic result
  - it only holds for big input values

- in practice the ignored terms (constants and lower order) can matter
  - if algorithm A has runtime $O(n^2)$ and algorithm B has runtime $O(n)$ which is faster?

- algorithms with the same big-O may behave differently
  - $n^3$ and $1000000n^3 + 10000000000000n^2$ are both $O(n^3)$

- it does give us valuable information about the runtime behaviour
  - it tells us the growth rate of the runtime
Efficiency

what happens if we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<thead>
<tr>
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<td>quadratic</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)
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<td>$2T(n)$</td>
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<td>$cn\log(n)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexity</th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
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<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
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<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T(n) )</th>
<th>( T(2n) )</th>
<th>( T(2n) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>( c \log(n) )</td>
<td>( c \log(n) )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>( cn )</td>
<td>( 2cn )</td>
<td>( 2T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>( cn \log(n) )</td>
<td>( 2cn \log(n) )</td>
<td>( 2T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>( cn^2 )</td>
<td>( 4cn^2 )</td>
<td>( 4T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>( cn^3 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>( c2^n )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>T(2n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2^n T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2^nT(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?
what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2^n T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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what happens if we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>T(2n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2^n T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
<th>T(n+1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$c \log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn \log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{2n}$</td>
<td>$2^n T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c2^n$</td>
<td>$c2^{n+1}$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T(n) )</th>
<th>( T(2n) )</th>
<th>( T(2n) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>( c \log(n) )</td>
<td>( c \log(n) )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>( cn )</td>
<td>( 2cn )</td>
<td>( 2T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmetic</td>
<td>( cn \log(n) )</td>
<td>( 2cn \log(n) )</td>
<td>( 2T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>( cn^2 )</td>
<td>( 4cn^2 )</td>
<td>( 4T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>( cn^3 )</td>
<td>( 8cn^3 )</td>
<td>( 8T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>( c2^n )</td>
<td>( c2^{2n} )</td>
<td>( 2^n T(n) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T(n) )</th>
<th>( T(n+1) )</th>
<th>( T(n+1) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>( cn )</td>
<td>( cn )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>( cn^2 )</td>
<td>( cn^2 )</td>
<td>( T(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>( c2^n )</td>
<td>( c2^{n+1} )</td>
<td>( 2T(n) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Efficiency

what happens is we double the input size? (ignoring lower order terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
<th>$T(2n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithmic</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$c\log(n)$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$2cn$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linearithmic</td>
<td>$cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2cn\log(n)$</td>
<td>$2T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$4cn^2$</td>
<td>$4T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>$cn^3$</td>
<td>$8cn^3$</td>
<td>$8T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c\kappa^n$</td>
<td>$c\kappa^{2n}$</td>
<td>$\kappa^nT(n)$ any $\kappa &gt; 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

what happens if we increase the input size by 1?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T(n)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
<th>$T(n+1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$cn$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$cn^2$</td>
<td>$T(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>$c\kappa^n$</td>
<td>$c\kappa^{n+1}$</td>
<td>$\kappa T(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Searching is a fundamental operation in computer science.

is there a difference if the data is unordered or ordered?
Searching is a **fundamental** operation in computer science.

Is there a difference if the data is unordered or ordered?

- **unordered list**
  - When we find the target, we find the target.
  - How do we know if the target is not in the list?
  - We have to look at every element in the list... \(O(n)\)

- **ordered list**
  - Can we use this extra information to help us?
  - Data stored in an array (ArrayList)
    - Binary search (eliminate 1/2 of search space with each comparison)
      - \(O(\log(n))\)
  - Data stored in a linked list?
    - We have to walk through the list to look for the element
    - \(O(n)\)

- How we store our data is important! (COMP2402)
# Arrays vs Linked Lists

Let’s compare arrays and linked lists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Linked List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Search an unsorted list</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search an sorted list</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add/remove at front of list</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add/remove at back of list</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add/remove from arbitrary position</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access element in arbitrary position</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So which implementation of a list is better?
Arrays vs Linked Lists

let’s compare arrays and linked lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Linked List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>search an unsorted list</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>search an sorted list</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add/remove at front of list</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add/remove at back of list</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add/remove from arbitrary position</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access element in arbitrary position</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So which implementation of a list is better?
Sorting

**sorting** is another **fundamental** operation in computer science.

You should have seen some sorting algorithms in COMP1004/1405 (bubble sort, insertion sort, selection sort). These were all quadratic sorting algorithms $O(n^2)$.

Let's try to do better. But instead of jumping into sorting, let's look at a different problem and apply divide and conquer:

Suppose we have two lists of numbers with $n$ numbers in total.
Sorting

Sorting is another fundamental operation in computer science.

You should have seen some sorting algorithms in COMP1004/1405 (bubble sort, insertion sort, selection sort). These were all quadratic sorting algorithms $O(n^2)$.

Let’s try to do better. But instead of jumping into sorting let’s look at a different problem and apply divide and conquer:

Suppose we have two lists of numbers with $n$ numbers in total:

- How efficiently can we create a new list with all the numbers in sorted order?
  - Does it matter that we have two lists? No.

- What if both lists were each sorted lists?
  - A-ha!
  - $O(n)$

- We have the merge algorithm
  - $O(n)$

When merging two lists whose lengths add to $n$. 
Recursive Sorting I

the **merge** algorithm takes two sorted lists and creates a single sorted list with all the elements

merge(List a, List b):
    list = new empty list
    while a is not empty OR b is not empty
        compare the first elements of a and b
        remove the greater from its list and add to list
    return list

Suppose your favourite sorting algorithm takes \( cn^2 \) time to sort \( n \) elements and merge takes \( n \) time.

- split the list in two
- sort each in time \( c(n/2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}cn^2 \)
- merge the two sorted lists in linear time \( c'n \)
- total time is \( \frac{1}{2}cn^2 + n \) (still \( O(n^2) \) but better!)
Recursive Sorting I

the mergesort algorithm recursively splits up the list until the lists are small enough to sort (base case) and then merges the results. (assume $n = 2^\ell$)

- divide list of $n$ numbers into $n$ lists of one number (base case)
- call merge on pairs of single number lists (giving 2-element sorted lists)
- call merge on pairs of 2-element sorted lists (giving 4-element sorted lists)
- ...
- call merge on the two $n/2$-element sorted lists giving a single $n$-element sorted list
Recursive Sorting I

mergesort (assume \( n = 2^\ell \))

mergesort(list[1..n]):
    if size of list is 1 then return list

    left = mergesort( list.sublist(1,n/2) )
    right = mergesort( list.sublist(n/2+1, n) )

    return merge(left,right)
Recursive Sorting I

the mergesort (assume $n = 2^\ell$)

mergesort(list[1..n]):
    if size of list is 1 then return list

    left = mergesort( list.sublist(1,n/2) )
    right = mergesort( list.sublist(n/2+1, n) )

    return merge(left,right)

what is the runtime of this algorithm?
Recursive Sorting I

the mergesort (assume \( n = 2^\ell \))

mergesort(list[1..n]):
   if size of list is 1 then return list

   left = mergesort( list.sublist(1,n/2) )
   right = mergesort( list.sublist(n/2+1, n) )

   return merge(left,right)

what is the runtime of this algorithm?

\[
T(n) = \begin{cases} 
  c & \quad n = 1 \\
  T(n/2) + T(n/2) + \text{Merge}(n) & \quad n > 1
\end{cases}
\]
what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[
T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n)
\]
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose \( n = 2^\ell \))

\[
T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) = 2T(n/2) + M(n)
\]
what is the runtime of the **mergesort** (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[
T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n)
= 2T(n/2) + M(n)
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n)
\]

$T(n/2)$
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

$$T(n)$$
- $= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n)$
- $= 2T(n/2) + M(n)$
- $= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n)$
  - $= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n)$
    - $= 2(2(2T(n/16) + M(n/8)) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n)$
---
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Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[
T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
\underbrace{T(n/2)} + \underbrace{T(n/4)} \\
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= ... \\
\]
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose \( n = 2^\ell \))

\[
T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n)
= 2T(n/2) + M(n)
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n)
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n)
= \ldots
= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1}M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \ldots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n)
\]
what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

$$
T(n)
\begin{align*}
  &= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
  &= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
  &= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
  &= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
  &= \cdots \\
  &= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1} M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n)
\end{align*}
$$

$T(1) \sim c, \ M(x) \sim kx$
what is the runtime of the \texttt{mergesort} (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[ T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \]
\[ = 2T(n/2) + M(n) \]
\[ = 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \]
\[ = 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \]
\[ = \ldots \]
\[ = 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1} M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n) \]
\[ \downarrow \quad T(1) \sim c, \quad M(x) \sim kx \]
\[ = 2^\ell c + 2^{\ell-1} k(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4k(n/4) + 2k(n/2) + kn \]
what is the runtime of the **mergesort** (suppose \( n = 2^\ell \))

\[
T(n) \\
= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= \ldots \\
= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1} M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n) \\
\downarrow \\
T(1) \sim c, \ M(x) \sim kx \\
= 2^\ell c + 2^{\ell-1} k(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4k(n/4) + 2k(n/2) + kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \ell kn
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the **mergesort** (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[
T(n) \\
= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= \ldots \\
= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1} M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \ldots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n) \\
\downarrow \\
= 2^\ell c + 2^{\ell-1} k(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \ldots + 4k(n/4) + 2k(n/2) + kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \ell kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \log_2(2^\ell) kn
what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

\[
T(n) \\
= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
\underbrace{T(n/2)}_{T(n/4)} \\
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= ... \\
= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1}M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n) \\
\downarrow \hspace{3mm} T(1) \sim c, \hspace{1mm} M(x) \sim kx \\
= 2^\ell c + 2^{\ell-1}k(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4k(n/4) + 2k(n/2) + kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \ell kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \log_2(2^\ell)kn \\
= nc + \log_2(n)kn
\]
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the **mergesort** (suppose \( n = 2^\ell \))

\[
T(n) \\
= T(n/2) + T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2T(n/2) + M(n) \\
= 2(2T(n/4) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= 2(2(2T(n/8) + M(n/4)) + M(n/2)) + M(n) \\
= \ldots \\
= 2^\ell T(1) + 2^{\ell-1} M(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4M(n/4) + 2M(n/2) + M(n) \\
\downarrow \quad T(1) \sim c, \ M(x) \sim kx \\
= 2^\ell c + 2^{\ell-1} k(n/2^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + 4k(n/4) + 2k(n/2) + kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \ell kn \\
= 2^\ell c + \log_2(2^\ell) kn \\
= nc + \log_2(n) kn \\
= O(n \log n)
\]
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)
Recursive Sorting I

what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

that was a bit traumatic...
what is the runtime of the mergesort (suppose $n = 2^\ell$)

that was a bit traumatic...

let’s draw a picture instead
Recursive Sorting II

the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.
the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.

let’s look at another problem on lists
Recursive Sorting II

the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.

let’s look at another problem on lists

problem: given a list $\ell$ and one element in the list $\alpha$, can you partition the list so that all elements before $\alpha$ are less than $\alpha$ and all elements after $\alpha$ are greater than or equal to $\alpha$?
the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.

let’s look at another problem on lists

problem: given a list $\ell$ and one element in the list $\alpha$, can you partition the list so that all elements before $\alpha$ are less than $\alpha$ and all elements after $\alpha$ are greater than or equal to $\alpha$?

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
12 & 3 & -12 & 223 & 62 & 17 & 99 & 78 & 82 & 101 & 11
\end{array}
\]
the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.

let’s look at another problem on lists

problem: given a list $\ell$ and one element in the list $\alpha$, can you partition the list so that all elements before $\alpha$ are less than $\alpha$ and all elements after $\alpha$ are greater than or equal to $\alpha$?

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
12 & 3 & -12 & 223 & 62 & 17 & 99 & 78 & 82 & 101 & 11 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & & \alpha = 78
\end{array}
\]
the mergesort was efficient because merging two sorted lists is efficient.

let’s look at another problem on lists

problem: given a list \( \ell \) and one element in the list \( \alpha \), can you partition the list so that all elements before \( \alpha \) are less than \( \alpha \) and all elements after \( \alpha \) are greater than or equal to \( \alpha \)?

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
12 & 3 & -12 & 223 & 62 & 17 & 99 & 78 & 82 & 101 & 11 \\
\downarrow & \alpha = 78 \\
12 & 3 & -12 & 62 & 17 & 11 & 78 & 223 & 99 & 82 & 101
\end{array}
\]
Recursive Sorting II

the **quicksort** method keeps partitioning lists and then concatenating them back together
the **quicksort** method keeps partitioning lists and then concatenating them back together

```plaintext
quicksort(list)
    if size of list is 1 then return list

    q := partition
    left := elements in list < q
    right := elements in list >= q

    return quicksort(left) + \{q\} || quicksort(right)
```